1 2 3 4	Rew R. Goodenow, NV Bar No. 3722 PARSONS BEHLE & LATIMER 50 W. Liberty Street, Suite 750 Reno, Nevada 89501 Telephone: (775) 323-1601 Facsimile: (775) 348-7250 Email: rgoodenow@parsonsbehle.com	
5 6 7 8 9 10	Jacqueline Ma, NY Bar No. (pro hac pending) Olshan Frome Wolosky LLP 1325 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10019 Telephone: (212) 451-2213 Facsimile: (212) 451-2222 Email: TFleming@olshanlaw.com	
	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
3	DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
4		
15	HF FOODS GROUP INC., a Delaware corporation	Case No. 2:23-cv-00748 GMN-DJA
6	Plaintiff,	DEFENDANTS FAI LAM, AS
17 18	VS.	TRUSTEE, WEIHUI KWÓK, AND YUANYUAN WU REQUEST AN EXTENSION OF TIME TO RESPOND
9	MAODONG XU; ZHOU MIN NI; FAI LAM, in his capacity as Trustee of THE	TO PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT
20	IRREVÔCABLE TRUST FOR RAYMOND NI; WEIHUI KWOK; and YUANYUAN WU,	(FIRST REQUEST)
21	Defendants.	Expedited Consideration Requested
22		
23	Pursuant to the provisions of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 6 and Local Rule IA 6-1,	
24	Defendants Fai Lam as Trustee of the Irrevocable Trust for Raymond Ni, Weihui Kwok, and	
25	YuanYuan Wu ("Defendants"), by and through their attorney, Rew R. Goodenow of Parsons Behle	
26		
27	& Latimer, respectfully moves this Court for an extension by thirty (30) days of their deadline to	
28	respond to the Complaint (ECF # 2) filed by Plaintiff HF Foods Group Inc. on May 11, 2023	
IS		

PARSONS
BEHLE &
LATIMER
4889-6189-8084.v1

("Complaint"). This Motion is based upon the following Memorandum of Points and Authorities, the pleadings filed in this matter, and any argument or testimony the Court may entertain.

DATED: June 5, 2023. By <u>/s/ Rew R. Goodenow</u>

Rew R. Goodenow, Nevada Bar No. 3722 PARSON BEHLE & LATIMER

Attorney for Defendants Fai Lam, in his capacity as Trustee of The Irrevocable Trust for Raymond Ni, Weihui Kwok and Yuanyuan Wu

## **MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES**

Pursuant to the provisions of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 6 and Local Rule IA 6-1, Defendants, by and through their attorneys, respectfully move this Court for an extension by thirty (30) days of their deadline to respond to the Compliant filed by Plaintiff HF Foods Group Inc. ("Plaintiff") and in support thereof states as follows:

## I. <u>PROCEDURAL BACKGROUND</u>

On May 11, 2023, Plaintiff filed the Complaint (ECF # 2), together with accompanying exhibits. The Complaint was filed with an emergency motion, which was mooted by later action by some of the Defendants.

The undersigned counsel was engaged today, June 5 2023. The undersigned counsel has requested that Plaintiff stipulate to a thirty (30) day extension. However, because today is at least one of the Defendant's deadline to respond to the Complaint, and the undersigned counsel has not yet received a response to the request for extension, this Motion is filed, in abundance of caution.

## II. ARGUMENT

Defendants move to extend by thirty (30) days their deadline to respond to the Complaint Under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 6, this Court may, for good cause, extend a deadline if a

1 request is made "before the original time or its extension expires." Fed. R. Civ. P. 6(b)(1)(A); see 2 also Local Rule IA 6-1(a). Good cause exists here. The undersigned counsel was just engaged 3 today, June 5, 2023. The initial pleadings are voluminous. 4 III. 5 **CONCLUSION** 6 For the foregoing reasons, Defendants respectfully request that this Court grant their request 7 for an extension by thirty (30) days of the deadline to file a response to the Complaint. 8 9 By: /s/ Rew R. Goodenow Rew R. Goodenow, Nevada Bar No. 3722 10 PARSON BEHLE & LATIMER 11 Attorney for Defendants Fai Lam, in his capacity as Trustee of The Irrevocable Trust for Raymond Ni, 12 Weihui Kwok and Yuanyuan Wu 13 14 **ORDER** 15 Having reviewed Defendants' motion, the Court finds that they have demonstrated good cause to extend the 16 deadline by which to respond to Plaintiff's complaint. Defendants' counsel represents that Defendants retained him the day at least one of the Defendants' answers was due. Additionally, there is a strong public 17 policy in the Ninth Circuit of deciding cases on the merits, rather than technicalities. See U.S. v. Signed 18 Personal Check No. 730 of Yubran S. Mesle, 615 F.3d 1085, 1091 (9th Cir. 2010). IT IS THEREFORE **ORDERED** that Defendants' motion to extend time [44] is **GRANTED**. Defendants Fai Lam, in his 19 capacity as Trustee of The Irrevocable Trust for Raymond Ni, Weihui Kwok and Yuanyuan Wu shall have until July 5, 2023 within which to answer the complaint. 20 DATED this 6th day of June, 2023. 21 22 23 DANIEL J. ALBREGTS 24 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE 25 26 27 28

PARSONS BEHLE & LATIMER

1 **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE** 2 I hereby certify that I am an employee of the law firm of Parsons Behle & Latimer and 3 that on this 5<sup>th</sup> day of June, 2023, I filed a true and correct copy of the foregoing **DEFENDANTS** 4 FAI LAM, AS TRUSTEE, WEIHUI KWOK, AND YUANYUAN WU REQUEST AN 5 EXTENSION OF TIME TO RESPOND TO PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT with the Clerk of 6 the Court through the Court's CM/ECF system, which sent electronic notification to the 7 8 following: 9 Frank M Flansburg, III Emily Louise Dyer 10 Maximilien Fetaz Brownstein Hyatt Farber Schreck 11 100 North City Parkway, Suite 1600 12 Las Vegas, NV 89106 Email: fflansburg@bhfs.com; edyer@bhfs.com 13 mfetaz@bhfs.com 14 15 /s/ Kathy Souviron 16 Employee of Parsons Behle & Latimer 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

PARSONS BEHLE & LATIMER